

**Surveillance for
Anencephaly and Spina Bifida
and the Impact of Prenatal Diagnosis
—United States, 1985–1994**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta, Georgia 30333



The MMWR series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

- General: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *CDC Surveillance Summaries*, August 25, 1995. MMWR 1995;44(No. SS-4).
- Specific: [Author(s)]. [Title of particular article]. In: *CDC Surveillance Summaries*, August 25, 1995. MMWR 1995;44(No. SS-4):[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D.
Director

The production of this report as an MMWR serial publication was coordinated in:

Epidemiology Program Office..... Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.
Director

Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H.
Editor, MMWR Series

Scott F. Wetterhall, M.D., M.P.H.
Associate Editor, CDC Surveillance Summaries

Scientific Information and Communications Program

CDC Surveillance Summaries Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A.
Managing Editor

Rachel J. Wilson
Ava W. Navin, M.A.
Project Editors

Peter M. Jenkins
Visual Information Specialist

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Copies can be purchased from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402-9325. Telephone: (202) 783-3238.

Contents

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Reports Published in CDC Surveillance Summaries | |
| Since January 1, 1985..... | ii |
| Introduction..... | 2 |
| Methods..... | 3 |
| Results..... | 6 |
| Discussion..... | 9 |
| Conclusions..... | 12 |
| References..... | 13 |
| State and Territorial Epidemiologists and Laboratory Directors..... | inside back cover |

Reports Published in *CDC Surveillance Summaries* Since January 1, 1985

| Subject | Responsible CIO/Agency* | Most Recent Report |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Abortion | NCCDPHP | 1995; Vol. 44, No. SS-2 |
| AIDS/HIV | | |
| Distribution by Racial/Ethnic Group | NCID | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-3 |
| Among Black & Hispanic Children & Women of Childbearing Age | NCEHC | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-3 |
| Behavioral Risk Factors | NCCDPHP | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-4 |
| Birth Defects | | |
| B.D. Monitoring Program (see also Malformations) | NCEH | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-1 |
| Contribution of B.D. to Infant Mortality | | |
| Among Minority Groups | NCEHC | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-3 |
| Breast & Cervical Cancer | NCCDPHP | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-2 |
| <i>Campylobacter</i> | NCID | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-2 |
| Chancroid | NCPS | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-3 |
| Chlamydia | NCPS | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-3 |
| Cholera | NCID | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-1 |
| Congenital Malformations, Minority Groups | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-3 |
| Contraception Practices | NCCDPHP | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-4 |
| Cytomegalovirus Disease, Congenital | NCID | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-2 |
| Dengue | NCID | 1994; Vol. 43, No. SS-2 |
| Dental Caries & Periodontal Disease Among Mexican-American Children | NCPS | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-3 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | NCCDPHP | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-2 |
| Dracunculiasis | NCID | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-1 |
| Ectopic Pregnancy | NCCDPHP | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-6 |
| Elderly, Hospitalizations Among | NCCDPHP | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-1 |
| Endometrial & Ovarian Cancers | EPO, NCCDPHP | 1986; Vol. 35, No. 2SS |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157 | NCID | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-1 |
| Evacuation Camps | EPO | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-4 |
| Family Planning Services at Title X Clinics | NCCDPHP | 1995; Vol. 44, No. SS-2 |
| Foodborne Disease | NCID | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-1 |
| Gonorrhea & Syphilis, Teenagers | NCPS | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-3 |
| Hazardous Substances Emergency Events | ATSDR | 1994; Vol. 43, No. SS-2 |
| Health Surveillance Systems | IHPO | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-4 |
| Hepatitis | NCID | 1985; Vol. 34, No. 1SS |
| Homicide | NCEHC | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-3 |
| Homicides, Black Males | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Hysterectomy | NCCDPHP | 1986; Vol. 35, No. 1SS |
| Infant Mortality (see also National Infant Mortality; Birth Defects; Postneonatal Mortality) | NCEHC | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-3 |
| Influenza | NCID | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-1 |
| Injury | | |
| Death Rates, Blacks & Whites | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-3 |
| Drownings | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Falls, Deaths | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Firearm-Related Deaths, Unintentional | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Head & Neck | NCIPC | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-5 |
| In Developing Countries | NCEHC | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-1 |

*Abbreviations

| | |
|---------|---|
| ATSDR | Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry |
| CIO | Centers/Institute/Offices |
| EPO | Epidemiology Program Office |
| IHPO | International Health Program Office |
| NCCDPHP | National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion |
| NCEH | National Center for Environmental Health |
| NCEHC | National Center for Environmental Health and Injury Control |
| NCID | National Center for Infectious Diseases |
| NCIPC | National Center for Injury Prevention and Control |
| NCPS | National Center for Prevention Services |
| NIOSH | National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health |

Reports Published in *CDC Surveillance Summaries* Since January 1, 1985 — Continued

| Subject | Responsible CIO/Agency* | Most Recent Report |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| In the Home, Persons <15 Years of Age | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Motor Vehicle-Related Deaths | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Objectives of Injury Control, State & Local | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Objectives of Injury Control, National | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Residential Fires, Deaths | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Tap Water Scalds | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Lead Poisoning, Childhood | NCEHC | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-4 |
| Low Birth Weight | NCCDPHP | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-3 |
| Maternal Mortality | NCCDPHP | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-2 |
| Measles | NCPS | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-6 |
| Meningococcal Disease | NCID | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-2 |
| Mining | NIOSH | 1986; Vol. 35, No. 2SS |
| Mumps | NCID | 1995; Vol. 44, No. SS-3 |
| National Infant Mortality (see also Infant Mortality; Birth Defects) | NCCDPHP | 1989; Vol. 38, No. SS-3 |
| <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> , Antimicrobial Resistance in | NCPS | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-3 |
| Neural Tube Defects | NCEHC | 1995; Vol. 44, No. SS-4 |
| Nosocomial Infection | NCID | 1986; Vol. 35, No. 1SS |
| Occupational Injuries/Disease | | |
| Asthma | NIOSH | 1994; Vol. 43, No. SS-1 |
| Hazards, Occupational | NIOSH | 1985; Vol. 34, No. 2SS |
| In Meatpacking Industry | NIOSH | 1985; Vol. 34, No. 1SS |
| Silicosis | NIOSH | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-5 |
| State Activities | NIOSH | 1987; Vol. 36, No. SS-2 |
| Parasites, Intestinal | NCID | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-4 |
| Pediatric Nutrition | NCCDPHP | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-7 |
| Pertussis | NCPS | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-8 |
| Plague | NCID | 1985; Vol. 34, No. 2SS |
| Plague, American Indians | NCID | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-3 |
| Poliomyelitis | NCPS | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-1 |
| Postneonatal Mortality | NCCDPHP | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-2 |
| Pregnancy Nutrition | NCCDPHP | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-7 |
| Pregnancy, Teenage | NCCDPHP | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-6 |
| Rabies | NCID | 1989; Vol. 38, No. SS-1 |
| Racial/Ethnic Minority Groups | Various | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-3 |
| Respiratory Disease | NCEHC | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-4 |
| Rotavirus | NCID | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-3 |
| <i>Salmonella</i> | NCID | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-2 |
| Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Italy | NCPS | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-1 |
| Smoking | NCCDPHP | 1990; Vol. 39, No. SS-3 |
| Smoking-Attributable Mortality | NCCDPHP | 1994; Vol. 43, No. SS-1 |
| Tobacco-Use Behaviors | NCCDPHP | 1994; Vol. 43, No. SS-3 |
| Streptococcal Disease (Group B) | NCID | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-6 |
| Sudden Unexplained Death Syndrome Among Southeast Asian Refugees | NCEHC, NCPS | 1987; Vol. 36, No. 1SS |
| Suicides, Persons 15-24 Years of Age | NCEHC | 1988; Vol. 37, No. SS-1 |
| Syphilis, Congenital | NCPS | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-6 |
| Syphilis, Primary & Secondary | NCPS | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-3 |
| Tetanus | NCPS | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-8 |
| Trichinosis | NCID | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-3 |
| Tuberculosis | NCPS | 1991; Vol. 40, No. SS-3 |
| Waterborne Disease Outbreaks | NCID | 1993; Vol. 42, No. SS-5 |
| Years of Potential Life Lost | EPO | 1992; Vol. 41, No. SS-6 |
| Youth Risk Behaviors | NCCDPHP | 1995; Vol. 44, No. SS-1 |

Surveillance for Anencephaly and Spina Bifida and the Impact of Prenatal Diagnosis— United States, 1985–1994

Janet D. Cragan, M.D.

Helen E. Roberts, M.D.

Larry D. Edmonds, M.S.P.H.

Muin J. Khoury, M.D., Ph.D.

Division of Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities

National Center for Environmental Health

Russell S. Kirby, Ph.D., M.S.

Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System

Gary M. Shaw, Dr.P.H.

Ellen M. Velie, M.P.H.

California Birth Defects Monitoring Program

Ruth D. Merz, M.S.

Mathias B. Forrester, B.S.

Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program

Roger A. Williamson, M.D.

Diane S. Krishnamurti, M.S.

Iowa Birth Defects Registry and the University of Iowa

Roger E. Stevenson, M.D.

Jane H. Dean, R.N.

Greenwood Genetic Center

Abstract

Problem/Condition: The reported prevalence of anencephaly and spina bifida in the United States has steadily declined since the late 1960s. During this time, the ability to diagnose these defects prenatally has progressed rapidly. Many U.S. birth defects surveillance systems ascertain defects only among live-born infants or among infants and fetuses beyond a certain gestational age, thus excluding defects among pregnancies prenatally diagnosed as being affected by a neural tube defect (NTD) and electively terminated before the gestational age limit. The impact of prenatal diagnosis and subsequent pregnancy termination on the reported prevalence of anencephaly and spina bifida in the United States has not been well established. However, assessment of this impact is crucial to the use of surveillance data to monitor trends in the occurrence of NTDs and the effectiveness of interventions for these defects (e.g., increased consumption of folic acid).

Reporting Period: This report presents data from birth defects surveillance systems in six states over different time periods: Arkansas, 1985–1989; California, 1989–1991; Georgia, 1990–1991; Hawaii, 1988–1994; Iowa, 1985–1990; and South Carolina, 1992–1993.

Description of Systems: Population-based data about a) live-born and stillborn infants with anencephaly and spina bifida and b) pregnancies electively terminated after

prenatal diagnosis of these defects were analyzed from the Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System; the California Birth Defects Monitoring Program; CDC's Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program; the Iowa Birth Defects Registry, the University of Iowa, and the Iowa Department of Public Health; and the Greenwood Genetic Center in South Carolina. Data also were analyzed from the Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program, which includes data for some women who were not residents of the state. The systems differed in the size and racial/ethnic composition of the populations studied, the surveillance methods used, the completeness of ascertainment, and the availability and utilization of prenatal testing and pregnancy termination.

Results and Interpretation: Among all pregnancies ascertained in which the infant or fetus had anencephaly or spina bifida, the percentages that were electively terminated ranged from 9% in Arkansas to 42% in Atlanta and Hawaii, with a corresponding increase in the adjusted prevalence of these defects compared with the prevalence at birth. In each system, pregnancies associated with anencephaly were terminated more frequently than were those associated with spina bifida. These data indicate that the impact of prenatal diagnosis and subsequent pregnancy termination on the prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida differs among geographic areas and populations. Comprehensive surveillance for these defects requires inclusion of pregnancies that are prenatally diagnosed and then terminated.

Actions Taken: CDC will use these data to promote the inclusion of prenatally diagnosed and terminated pregnancies in estimates of the prevalence of anencephaly and spina bifida generated by birth defects surveillance programs in the United States. Including such pregnancies is crucial to the ability of these programs to monitor trends accurately and to establish the effectiveness of interventions, including the use of folic acid, for these defects.

INTRODUCTION

In the early 1970s, an association was documented between elevated alpha-fetoprotein levels in women during early pregnancy and the presence of anencephaly or open spina bifida, which are congenital neural tube defects (NTDs) of the brain and spinal cord, respectively (1,2). Since then, the ability to diagnose NTDs prenatally has progressed rapidly, with increased utilization of and improved techniques for both maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein (MSAFP) screening and high-resolution ultrasonography. Because many birth defects surveillance systems in the United States were established before these prenatal techniques were widely used, they ascertain defects primarily from hospital records among live-born infants only or among infants and fetuses beyond a certain gestational age (3). Pregnancies that are prenatally diagnosed with NTDs and subsequently terminated in an outpatient setting or before the specified gestational age often are not included in U.S. birth defects surveillance data.

The reported prevalence at birth of NTDs in the United States has steadily declined since the late 1960s (4), before the use of prenatal diagnostic testing became widespread. An undetermined proportion of this decline may reflect the fact that birth defects surveillance systems do not include NTD-affected pregnancies that are electively terminated after prenatal diagnosis. Reports from other countries (e.g., England, France, and Scotland) estimate that, in some areas in the mid-1980s, at least 80% of pregnancies affected by anencephaly and 40% of those affected by spina bifida were

electively terminated (5). The only similar published estimate from the United States indicates that, in one area during 1990, the percentage of pregnancies affected by anencephaly that were electively terminated may have been even higher (6).

This report summarizes findings from birth defects surveillance systems in six states during the period 1985-1994 that were able to ascertain NTD-affected pregnancies which were prenatally diagnosed and then electively terminated. These findings estimate the reduction in the reported prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida in the United States resulting from prenatal diagnosis and subsequent pregnancy termination. The findings emphasize the importance of including prenatally diagnosed defects in NTD surveillance data.

METHODS

Population-based data about a) live-born and stillborn infants who had anencephaly and spina bifida* and b) pregnancies electively terminated after prenatal diagnosis of these defects were analyzed from five U.S. birth defects surveillance systems: the Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System (ARHMS); the California Birth Defects Monitoring Program (CBDMP); CDC's Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP); the Iowa Birth Defects Registry, the University of Iowa, and the Iowa Department of Public Health; and the Greenwood Genetic Center (GGC) in South Carolina. Data were also analyzed from the Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program (HBDMP), which includes data for some women who were not residents of the state. The years surveyed, the size of the populations studied, and the methods of ascertainment differed among the systems (Table 1). The case definitions of anencephaly and spina bifida also differed.

For the purpose of these analyses, all ascertained pregnancies in which the fetuses were prenatally diagnosed as having anencephaly or spina bifida and which were electively terminated were excluded from the calculations of prevalence at birth, regardless of the gestational age at termination. These estimates are based on the assumption that all NTD-affected pregnancies, had they not been terminated, would have been included in the prevalences of these defects at birth. The data were analyzed for each system by race/ethnicity because of previously reported race-specific differences in the prevalences at birth of these defects (7); race/ethnicity was either reported by the mother or obtained from patient records.

Arkansas: The ARHMS collected data from approximately two-thirds of the state's population born during the period 1985-1987 and from approximately half of the state's population born during the period 1988-1989. A case was defined as either a) anencephaly and/or spina bifida in a live-born or stillborn infant of ≥ 22 weeks' gestation born from 1985 through 1989 or b) a pregnancy electively terminated during this same time period after prenatal diagnosis of these defects, regardless of the gestational age of the fetus. Cases were ascertained by review of medical records from hospitals, genetic service clinics, and specialty clinics, as well as through passive reporting by schools and community agencies. Race/ethnicity was categorized as either "white" or "black."[†] Data from other racial and ethnic groups were not analyzed because of the limited number of cases among these groups. The population base from

*The data do not distinguish between open and closed spina bifida.

[†]Whether Hispanic infants were included in this category is unknown.

TABLE 1. Comparison of selected birth defects surveillance systems — United States

| System | Years surveyed | Reporting area | Total no. of births* | Methods of ascertainment |
|---|----------------|---|----------------------|--|
| Arkansas Reproductive Monitoring System | 1985–1989 | 1985–1987: 67% of the state population; 1988–1989: 50% of the state population | 108,519 | Record review: hospitals, genetic and specialty health clinics; Passive reporting: schools, community agencies |
| California Birth Defects Monitoring Program | 1989–1991† | All counties except Los Angeles, Ventura, and Riverside | 708,129 | Record review: hospitals, genetic clinics, and ultrasonography records |
| Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program | 1990–1991 | Five-county metropolitan Atlanta area | 77,022 | Record review: hospitals, genetic laboratories, perinatal offices, and vital records |
| Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program | 1988–1994 | Statewide | 148,092 | Record review: hospitals, laboratories, prenatal diagnostic centers, and vital records |
| Iowa Birth Defects Registry, the University of Iowa, and the Iowa Department of Public Health | 1985–1990 | Statewide | 234,113 | Data linkage: Birth Defects Registry, Prenatal Diagnosis Clinic, Fetal Diagnosis and Treatment Unit, and the MSAFP Screening Program |
| Greenwood Genetic Center (South Carolina) | 1992–1993‡ | 14 upstate counties | 16,641 | Record review: MSAFP and autopsy programs, obstetric offices Periodic hospital reports |

* Includes live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the California, Iowa, and Arkansas systems; live-born infants in the Atlanta system; live-born and stillborn infants who either were >20 weeks' gestation or weighed ≥ 950 grams at birth in the South Carolina system; and live-born and stillborn infants or fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

† Data were collected for live-born and stillborn infants who were born from June 1, 1989, through May 31, 1991. Data were collected for pregnancies that were terminated from February 1, 1989, through January 31, 1991.

‡ Data were collected for pregnancies with an estimated date of delivery from October 1, 1992, through September 30, 1993.

MSAFP = Maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein

which defect prevalences were calculated consisted of 108,519 live-born and stillborn infants of ≥ 20 weeks' gestation.

California: The CBDMP collected data from all counties in the state except Los Angeles, Ventura, and Riverside. A case was defined as either a) anencephaly and/or spina bifida in a live-born or stillborn infant of ≥ 20 weeks' gestation born during the period June 1, 1989, through May 31, 1991, or b) a pregnancy electively terminated from February 1, 1989, through January 31, 1991, after prenatal diagnosis of these defects, regardless of the gestational age of the fetus. Cases were ascertained by review of medical and ultrasonography records at all hospitals and genetic clinics serving the population base. For this evaluation, race/ethnicity was categorized as either "white" or "other," with "other" including all racial and ethnic groups other than white. The population base from which defect prevalences were calculated consisted of 708,129 live-born and stillborn infants of ≥ 20 weeks' gestation.

Atlanta, Georgia: The MACDP collected data from the five-county metropolitan Atlanta area. A case was defined as either a) anencephaly and/or spina bifida in a live-born or stillborn infant of ≥ 20 weeks' gestation born during the period 1990-1991 or b) a pregnancy electively terminated after prenatal diagnosis of these defects, in which the fetus was of any gestational age but would have been at least 20 weeks' gestation during this same time period had the pregnancy not been terminated. Cases were ascertained by review of medical records from all hospitals, outpatient perinatal centers, and local genetic laboratories within the five-county metropolitan area, as well as from vital records. Race/ethnicity was categorized as either "white" or "black." Data from other racial and ethnic groups were not analyzed because of the limited number of cases among these groups. The population base from which defect prevalences were calculated consisted of 77,022 live-born infants.

Hawaii: The HBDMP collected data from the entire state. A case was defined as either a) anencephaly and/or spina bifida in a live-born or stillborn infant or fetus of any gestational age born in Hawaii during the period 1988-1994 or b) a pregnancy electively terminated in Hawaii during this same period after prenatal diagnosis of these defects, regardless of the gestational age of the fetus. Because cases were included regardless of whether the mother resided in Hawaii, the HBDMP data were not strictly population based. Cases were ascertained by review of medical records from all birth and tertiary hospitals, laboratories, and prenatal diagnostic centers in the state, as well as from vital records. Race/ethnicity was categorized as "white" or Asian. Data from other racial and ethnic groups, including Hispanic, were not analyzed because of the limited number of cases among these groups. The population base from which defect prevalences were calculated consisted of 148,092 live-born and stillborn infants and fetuses of any gestational age.

Iowa: Statewide data were collected from the Iowa Birth Defects Registry, the Maternal Serum Alpha-Fetoprotein Screening Program (directed by the Iowa Department of Public Health), and the Prenatal Diagnosis Clinic and Fetal Diagnosis and Treatment Unit of the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. A case was defined as either a) anencephaly and/or spina bifida not associated with other major malformations or clinical syndromes in a live-born or stillborn infant of ≥ 20 weeks' gestation born from 1985 through 1990 or b) a pregnancy electively terminated during this period after prenatal diagnosis of these defects, regardless of the gestational age of the fetus. The population base from which defect prevalences were calculated consisted of 234,113

*Whether Hispanic infants were included in this category is unknown.

live-born and stillborn infants of ≥ 20 weeks' gestation, approximately 96% of whom were white.*

South Carolina: The GGC collected data from 14 counties that comprise approximately 30% of the state's population. A case was defined as either a) a pregnancy in which the mother had an estimated date of delivery during the period October 1, 1992, through September 30, 1993, that resulted in a live-born or stillborn infant of any gestational age with anencephaly and/or spina bifida or b) a pregnancy in which the mother had an estimated date of delivery during this same time period and which was electively terminated after prenatal diagnosis of these defects, regardless of the gestational age of the fetus. Cases were ascertained by continuous monitoring of MSAFP screening programs, obstetric offices, and fetal/neonatal autopsy programs serving the population base, as well as by periodic monitoring of hospital medical record departments and neonatal intensive-care units. Race/ethnicity was categorized as either "white" or "other." * All but one of the NTD-affected infants and fetuses in the "other" category were black. * The population base from which defect prevalences were calculated consisted of 16,641 live-born and stillborn infants who either were ≥ 20 weeks' gestation or weighed ≥ 350 grams at birth.

RESULTS

Among all pregnancies ascertained in which the infant or fetus had anencephaly or spina bifida, from 9% in Arkansas to 42% in Atlanta and Hawaii were electively terminated, with a corresponding increase in the adjusted prevalence of these defects compared with the prevalence at birth (Table 2). In each system, pregnancies associated with anencephaly were terminated more frequently (range: 20% in Arkansas to 69% in Hawaii) than were those associated with spina bifida (range: 3% in Arkansas to 29% in California).†

The adjusted prevalences of anencephaly and spina bifida, both individually and combined, also differed among the systems. The prevalence in each category was highest in South Carolina and lowest in Hawaii. In every system, the adjusted prevalence of spina bifida was higher than that of anencephaly; however, the prevalence was only slightly higher in Atlanta.

Among all pregnancies ascertained in which the infant or fetus had anencephaly or spina bifida, the percentages that were electively terminated were available for each year of surveillance for Arkansas, Hawaii, and Iowa (Table 3). In Arkansas, this percentage more than tripled from 1985 (7%) to 1989 (23%); in Iowa, the percentage doubled from 1985 (13%) to 1990 (27%); in Hawaii, the percentage varied over the years without a discernible trend (range: 30% to 67%).‡ However, in Hawaii, the adjusted prevalence of these defects almost doubled from the earlier years of surveillance

*Whether Hispanic infants were included in this category is unknown.

†It is unknown whether four of the NTD-affected pregnancies in Hawaii (two with anencephaly, two with spina bifida) were electively terminated. If all four pregnancies were prenatally diagnosed and subsequently terminated, 73% of the pregnancies with anencephaly, 26% of those with spina bifida, and 46% of the total NTD-affected pregnancies from Hawaii would have been electively terminated.

‡It is unknown whether four of the NTD-affected pregnancies in Hawaii (two with anencephaly, two with spina bifida) were electively terminated. If all four of these pregnancies were prenatally diagnosed and subsequently terminated, the range of NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated in Hawaii would have been 33% to 67%.

TABLE 2. Prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida and adjusted prevalence after prenatal diagnosis and elective termination,* by birth defect and system — United States

| Birth defect/ System | Prevalence at birth† | | | No. of terminated pregnancies | Adjusted prevalence‡ | | | Percentage of pregnancies terminated† |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------|-------------|---|
| | No. | Rate | (95% CI) | | No. | Rate | (95% CI) | |
| Anencephaly | | | | | | | | |
| ARHMS | 32 | 0.29 | (0.20-0.42) | 8 | 40 | 0.37 | (0.26-0.55) | 20 |
| CBDMPS | 143 | 0.20 | (0.17-0.24) | 142 | 285 | 0.40 | (0.36-0.45) | 50 |
| MACDP | 15 | 0.19 | (0.11-0.32) | 22 | 37 | 0.48 | (0.34-0.66) | 59 |
| HBDMP | 12 | 0.08 | (0.04-0.14) | 31 | 45** | 0.30 | (0.22-0.41) | 69 |
| Iowa Birth Defects Registry†† | 62 | 0.27 | (0.20-0.34) | 20 | 82 | 0.35 | (0.28-0.43) | 24 |
| GGC | 4 | 0.24 | (0.06-0.62) | 6 | 10 | 0.60 | (0.29-1.11) | 60 |
| Spina bifida | | | | | | | | |
| ARHMS | 70 | 0.65 | (0.50-0.82) | 2 | 72 | 0.66 | (0.52-0.84) | 3 |
| CBDMPS | 250 | 0.35 | (0.31-0.40) | 103 | 353 | 0.50 | (0.45-0.55) | 29 |
| MACDP | 29 | 0.38 | (0.25-0.54) | 10 | 39 | 0.51 | (0.36-0.69) | 26 |
| HBDMP | 45 | 0.30 | (0.22-0.41) | 14 | 61** | 0.41 | (0.32-0.53) | 23 |
| Iowa Birth Defects Registry | 104 | 0.44 | (0.36-0.54) | 25 | 129 | 0.55 | (0.46-0.65) | 19 |
| GGC | 12 | 0.72 | (0.37-1.26) | 4 | 16 | 0.96 | (0.55-1.56) | 25 |
| Total | | | | | | | | |
| ARHMS | 102 | 0.94 | (0.76-1.14) | 10 | 112 | 1.03 | (0.85-1.24) | 9 |
| CBDMPS | 393 | 0.55 | (0.50-0.61) | 245 | 638 | 0.90 | (0.83-0.97) | 38 |
| MACDP | 44 | 0.57 | (0.42-0.77) | 32 | 76 | 0.99 | (0.78-1.23) | 42 |
| HBDMP | 57 | 0.38 | (0.29-0.50) | 45 | 108** | 0.72 | (0.59-0.87) | 42 |
| Iowa Birth Defects Registry | 166 | 0.71 | (0.61-0.83) | 45 | 211 | 0.90 | (0.78-1.07) | 21 |
| GGC | 16 | 0.96 | (0.55-1.56) | 10 | 26 | 1.56 | (1.02-2.29) | 38 |

*Both prevalences are per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the California, Iowa, and Arkansas systems; per 1,000 live-born infants in the Atlanta system; per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants who were >20 weeks' gestation or had a birth weight of ≥350 grams in the South Carolina system; and per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants or fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

†Includes live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the California, Iowa, South Carolina, and Atlanta systems; live-born and stillborn infants >22 weeks' gestation in the Arkansas system; and live-born and stillborn infants and fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

‡Percentage of pregnancies that were electively terminated and b) infants who were included in the calculations for birth prevalence.

**Percentage of pregnancies included in the calculations for adjusted prevalence that were electively terminated.

††If all four were included in the calculation of the prenatal diagnosis and subsequently terminated pregnancies in Hawaii (two with anencephaly, two with spina bifida) were electively terminated, the prevalence would have been 0.25% for anencephaly and 0.73% for spina bifida. If all four were included in the calculation of the prenatal diagnosis and subsequently terminated pregnancies in Hawaii (two with anencephaly, two with spina bifida) were electively terminated, the prevalence would have been 0.25% for anencephaly and 0.73% for spina bifida.

ARHMS = Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System
CBDMPS = California Birth Defects Monitoring Program
MACDP = Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program
HBDMP = Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program
GGC = Greenwood Genetic Center (South Carolina)

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals

TABLE 3. Prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida and adjusted prevalence after prenatal diagnosis and elective termination,* by year, selected birth defects surveillance systems — United States

| System/Year | Prevalence at birth† | | No. of terminated pregnancies | Adjusted prevalence‡ | | Percentage of pregnancies terminated§ | | |
|-------------|----------------------|------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | No. | Rate | | (95% CI) | No. | | Rate | (95% CI) |
| ARHMS | | | | | | | | |
| 1985 | 28 | 1.14 | (0.76–1.65) | 2 | 1.23 | (0.83–1.75) | 7 | |
| 1986 | 26 | 1.08 | (0.71–1.59) | 0 | 1.08 | (0.71–1.59) | — | |
| 1987 | 19 | 0.91 | (0.55–1.43) | 1 | 20 | 0.96 | (0.59–1.48) | 5 |
| 1988 | 12 | 0.62 | (0.32–1.08) | 2 | 14 | 0.72 | (0.39–1.21) | 14 |
| 1989 | 17 | 0.86 | (0.50–1.37) | 5 | 22 | 1.11 | (0.70–1.68) | 23 |
| HBDMP | | | | | | | | |
| 1988 | 7 | 0.34 | (0.14–0.71) | 4 | 11 | 0.54 | (0.27–1.00) | 36 |
| 1989 | 8 | 0.38 | (0.12–0.89) | 4 | 12 | 0.57 | (0.30–1.00) | 33 |
| 1990 | 5 | 0.22 | (0.07–0.52) | 6 | 12** | 0.54 | (0.28–0.94) | 50 |
| 1991 | 2 | 0.09 | (0.01–0.34) | 4 | 6 | 0.28 | (0.10–0.61) | 67 |
| 1992 | 12 | 0.56 | (0.29–0.98) | 10 | 22 | 1.00 | (0.65–1.56) | 45 |
| 1993 | 13 | 0.62 | (0.33–1.06) | 6 | 20** | 0.97 | (0.59–1.50) | 30 |
| 1994 | 10 | 0.49 | (0.23–0.89) | 11 | 23** | 1.11 | (0.61–1.87) | 48 |
| Iowa†† | | | | | | | | |
| 1985 | 34 | 0.83 | (0.57–1.15) | 5 | 39 | 0.95 | (0.67–1.29) | 13 |
| 1986 | 29 | 0.75 | (0.50–1.07) | 4 | 33 | 0.85 | (0.59–1.20) | 12 |
| 1987 | 29 | 0.77 | (0.51–1.10) | 6 | 35 | 0.92 | (0.64–1.29) | 17 |
| 1988 | 21 | 0.55 | (0.34–0.84) | 7 | 28 | 0.74 | (0.49–1.06) | 25 |

* Both prevalences are per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the Arkansas and Iowa systems, and per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants or fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

† Includes live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the Iowa system, live-born and stillborn infants ≥22 weeks' gestation in the Arkansas system, and live-born and stillborn infants and fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

‡ Includes a) pregnancies that were electively terminated and b) infants who were included in the calculations for prevalence at birth.

§ Percentage of pregnancies included in the calculations for adjusted prevalence that were electively terminated.

** It is unknown whether four of the prenatally diagnosed pregnancies in Hawaii (two with anencephaly, two with spina bifida) were electively terminated. If all four pregnancies had been prenatally diagnosed and subsequently terminated, 58% of the NTD-affected pregnancies from 1990, 35% of those from 1993, and 57% of those from 1994 in Hawaii would have been electively terminated.

†† The Iowa Birth Defects Registry includes data from the University of Iowa and the Iowa Department of Public Health.

ARHMS = Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System

HBDMP = Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals

(1988–1991, range: 0.28 to 0.57 per 1,000) to the later years (1992–1994, range: 0.97 to 1.11).

The effect of prenatal diagnosis and subsequent termination on the prevalence of anencephaly and spina bifida was compared among racial groups in Arkansas, Atlanta, and Hawaii (Table 4). The prevalences among racial groups in the other systems were not compared because of the limited number of cases among many of those groups. In both Arkansas and Atlanta, the prevalence at birth and adjusted prevalence of anencephaly and spina bifida were higher among white women than among black women. In Atlanta, a higher percentage of ascertained NTD-affected pregnancies was electively terminated among white women. In Arkansas, although a limited number of pregnancies among black women was ascertained, a higher percentage of those affected by anencephaly was electively terminated compared with those among white women.

In Hawaii, the adjusted prevalence of anencephaly and its prevalence at birth were similar among white women and Asian women; however, the prevalence at birth and adjusted prevalence of spina bifida were higher among white women. For both defects, the percentage of ascertained pregnancies that were subsequently terminated was higher among Asian women.

DISCUSSION

These data provide the first multistate, population-based estimate of the impact of prenatal diagnosis and subsequent pregnancy termination on the prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida in the United States. In some areas, the prevalence at birth of anencephaly was reduced by approximately 60%–70% and that of spina bifida by approximately 20%–30%.

Among the six systems, the percentage of NTD-affected pregnancies that were prenatally diagnosed and subsequently terminated varied widely. This variation may reflect differences in surveillance methods, completeness of ascertainment, availability and utilization of prenatal diagnostic testing, and acceptance of elective pregnancy termination. Comprehensive ascertainment of NTD-affected pregnancies that are subsequently terminated can be particularly difficult and variable among systems. In all six systems, some women who had NTD-affected pregnancies that were prenatally diagnosed and then terminated without being referred to a specialty center participating in the surveillance system might not have been included in the estimates of adjusted defect prevalence. As a result, both the number of prenatally diagnosed and terminated pregnancies and the estimated percentages of all NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated reported by each system would have been decreased.

Effects of Different Methods for Ascertaining Cases

Statewide MSAFP screening programs, which can facilitate the prenatal diagnosis of fetuses with NTDs, are maintained in both California and Iowa. However, some MSAFP specimens might not have been submitted through these programs, thus lowering the number of prenatally diagnosed pregnancies ascertained by these systems. In addition, infants and fetuses with anencephaly and spina bifida associated with other major malformations were excluded from the case definition in Iowa. This exclusion might have lowered the ascertained prevalences of these defects at birth and the

TABLE 4. Prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida and adjusted prevalence after prenatal diagnosis and elective termination,* by race—selected birth defects surveillance systems — United States

| System/Race | Prevalence at birth† | | No. of terminated pregnancies | Adjusted prevalence‡ | | Percentage of pregnancies terminated† |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | No. | Rate (95% CI) | | No. | Rate (95% CI) | |
| Anencephaly | | | | | | |
| ARHMS | 27 | 0.32 (0.21–0.47) | 6 | 33 | 0.39 (0.27–0.55) | 18 |
| White | 3 | 0.13 (0.03–0.39) | 2 | 5 | 0.22 (0.07–0.51) | 40 |
| Black | | | | | | |
| MACDP | 9 | 0.21 (0.10–0.40) | 16 | 25 | 0.58 (0.37–0.86) | 64 |
| White | 5 | 0.16 (0.05–0.37) | 3 | 8 | 0.25 (0.11–0.50) | 38 |
| Black | | | | | | |
| HBDMP | 3 | 0.08 (0.02–0.24) | 6 | 9 | 0.24 (0.11–0.46) | 67 |
| White | 6 | 0.07 (0.02–1.46) | 17 | 23 | 0.27 (0.16–0.38) | 74 |
| Asian | | | | | | |
| Spina bifida | | | | | | |
| ARHMS | 57 | 0.68 (0.51–0.88) | 2 | 59 | 0.70 (0.53–0.97) | 3 |
| White | 12 | 0.53 (0.27–0.92) | 0 | 12 | 0.53 (0.27–0.92) | — |
| Black | | | | | | |
| MACDP | 18 | 0.42 (0.25–0.66) | 8 | 26 | 0.60 (0.39–0.88) | 31 |
| White | 11 | 0.35 (0.17–0.62) | 2 | 13 | 0.41 (0.22–0.70) | 15 |
| Black | | | | | | |
| HBDMP | 16 | 0.43 (0.25–0.70) | 2 | 18 | 0.48 (0.29–0.76) | 11 |
| White | 27 | 0.30 (0.20–0.44) | 7 | 34 | 0.39 (0.26–0.53) | 21 |
| Asian | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| ARHMS | 84 | 1.00 (0.79–1.23) | 8 | 92 | 1.09 (0.88–1.34) | 9 |
| White | 15 | 0.66 (0.34–1.09) | 2 | 17 | 0.75 (0.44–1.20) | 12 |
| Black | | | | | | |
| MACDP | 27 | 0.63 (0.41–0.91) | 24 | 51 | 1.18 (0.88–1.55) | 47 |
| White | 16 | 0.51 (0.29–0.82) | 5 | 21 | 0.66 (0.41–1.01) | 24 |
| Black | | | | | | |
| HBDMP | 19 | 0.51 (0.31–0.80) | 8 | 27 | 0.72 (0.48–1.10) | 30 |
| White | 33 | 0.37 (0.25–0.52) | 24 | 57 | 0.63 (0.48–0.82) | 42 |
| Asian | | | | | | |

* Both prevalences are per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the Arkansas system; per 1,000 live-born infants in the Atlanta system; and per 1,000 live-born and stillborn infants or fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

† Includes live-born and stillborn infants ≥22 weeks' gestation in the Arkansas system; live-born and stillborn infants ≥20 weeks' gestation in the Atlanta system; and live-born and stillborn infants and fetuses of any gestational age in the Hawaii system.

‡ Includes a) pregnancies that were electively terminated and b) infants who were included in the calculations for prevalence at birth.

§ Percentage of pregnancies included in the calculations for adjusted prevalence that were electively terminated.

ARHMS = Arkansas Reproductive Health Monitoring System
MACDP = Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program
HBDMP = Hawaii Birth Defects Monitoring Program
95% CI = 95% confidence intervals

estimated percentages of all NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated in Iowa compared with other systems.

Because MSAFP screening was not widely available in local health departments in Arkansas until the late 1980s, the estimated percentage of all NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated reported by Arkansas was low. The availability of screening may partially account for the increase in this percentage during 1988 and 1989. Decreased funding for the surveillance program may also have affected case-finding in Arkansas during 1988 and 1989. In Atlanta, an MSAFP screening program has not been established; therefore, prenatally diagnosed pregnancies were ascertained primarily through review of ultrasonography records and amniotic fluid alpha-fetoprotein results.

Similarly, in Hawaii, cases were ascertained primarily through record review at prenatal diagnostic centers and birth hospitals. Because these records did not always indicate the final outcome of the pregnancy, it is unknown whether four of the NTD-affected pregnancies in Hawaii (two with anencephaly and two with spina bifida) were electively terminated. If all four of these pregnancies were terminated after prenatal diagnosis, the estimated percentage of NTD-affected pregnancies that were terminated in Hawaii would have been higher (Tables 2, 3). In addition, ascertainment of prenatally diagnosed pregnancies that were electively terminated was begun for all years of the surveillance in 1994 on a retrospective basis. The comprehensiveness of case ascertainment among these pregnancies might therefore be lower than that among live-born and stillborn infants and fetuses.

In contrast, the South Carolina system was based on direct contact with both local MSAFP programs and individual obstetricians and pathologists. This method might have provided more comprehensive ascertainment of prenatally diagnosed pregnancies in South Carolina compared with the other systems and might have contributed to the increased prevalences of these defects reported by South Carolina. However, the methods used to identify live-born and stillborn infants with anencephaly and spina bifida from hospital records in South Carolina may have resulted in less complete ascertainment of these cases than in other systems, resulting in a lowering of the estimated prevalence at birth in that state.

This analysis could not determine whether the decreased prevalences reported from Hawaii and the increased prevalences reported from South Carolina were true or whether they resulted from the differences in surveillance methods among the systems. In addition, the increased prevalences reported from South Carolina may also have resulted from the limited total number of NTD-affected pregnancies ascertained by that system.

Factors Affecting Calculations of NTD Prevalence at Birth

With regard to the data for each year of surveillance, the increased percentage of all ascertained NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated in the later years in the Arkansas and Iowa systems may reflect an increase in the availability and utilization of prenatal diagnostic procedures, an increased ability of physicians to diagnose NTDs prenatally, an increase in the referral of pregnancies suspected to be affected with NTDs to subspecialists, and improved case ascertainment. The lack of a similar trend in these percentages over time in the Hawaii system may reflect the relatively later years of surveillance reported by that system and a more uniform pattern of prenatal care practices compared with the other systems. The reason for the

increase in prevalence at birth and adjusted prevalence in Hawaii for 1992-1994 compared with 1988-1991 is unclear. Neither surveillance techniques nor case ascertainment methods changed during those years. Continued surveillance should clarify whether this increase in prevalence represents a persistent trend.

The comparison of data among racial groups from Arkansas, Atlanta, and Hawaii suggests an increased adjusted prevalence of anencephaly and spina bifida among white women compared with black women and an increased adjusted prevalence of spina bifida among white women compared with Asian women. These race-specific differences in the percentage of pregnancies terminated may have resulted from a) differences in availability and utilization of prenatal procedures, including elective termination, or b) the limited number of NTD-affected pregnancies among some racial groups ascertained by these systems.

In the six surveillance systems included in this report, all NTD-affected pregnancies that were subsequently terminated were excluded from the calculations of prevalence at birth of anencephaly and spina bifida. However, in some U.S. birth defects surveillance systems, only NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated beyond a specific gestational age are included in the calculations of prevalence at birth. In addition, some surveillance systems may include infants with encephalocele and other NTDs in their case ascertainment. As a consequence, the reduction in the estimates of prevalence at birth resulting from prenatal diagnosis and subsequent termination calculated from some surveillance data may be smaller than reflected in this report. For example, if the MACDP data had included only NTD-affected pregnancies that were electively terminated at ≥ 20 weeks and if infants with encephalocele had been included in the MACDP case definition, the reduction in the prevalences at birth of NTDs attributable to prenatal diagnosis and elective termination would have been approximately 30% (8), not the 42% cited in this report.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings in this report indicate that the impact of prenatal diagnosis and subsequent pregnancy termination on surveillance for anencephaly and spina bifida can differ considerably among geographic areas, among populations, and over time. This variation underscores the necessity of monitoring this impact for each population—or subgroup of a population—studied. The findings also demonstrate the considerable magnitude of the reduction in prevalence at birth of these defects resulting from the widespread use of prenatal diagnostic techniques. Comprehensive surveillance for NTDs can no longer be conducted without ascertaining pregnancies that are prenatally diagnosed and then electively terminated.

Such comprehensive surveillance can play a key role in evaluating the effectiveness of preventive measures for NTDs. Improving the dietary level of folic acid (a B vitamin) has been demonstrated to prevent the occurrence of many NTDs (9,10). This finding represents a historic opportunity for the prevention of birth defects. In 1991 and 1992, CDC published recommendations for the use of folic acid to prevent NTDs (11,12). As these recommendations are implemented and the use of folic acid becomes more widespread, its effect on the prevalence of NTD-affected pregnancies must be closely and accurately monitored. If surveillance is to be used to monitor the effectiveness of this prevention and any resultant decline in the prevalence of NTDs attributable to folic acid use, pregnancies that are electively terminated after prenatal

diagnosis of an NTD must be included in NTD surveillance. Otherwise, evaluation of a reduction in the prevalence of NTDs attributable to folic acid cannot be distinguished from the decrease resulting from prenatal diagnosis and elective termination.

References

1. Brock DJH, Sutcliffe RG. Alpha-fetoprotein in the antenatal diagnosis of anencephaly and spina bifida. *Lancet* 1972;2:197-9.
2. Wald NJ, Brock DJH, Bonnar J. Prenatal diagnosis of spina bifida and anencephaly by maternal serum-alpha-fetoprotein measurement: a controlled study. *Lancet* 1974;1:765-7.
3. Edmonds LD, Layde PM, James LM, et al. Congenital malformations surveillance: two American systems. *Int J Epidemiol* 1981;10:247-52.
4. Yen IH, Khoury MJ, Erickson JD, et al. The changing epidemiology of neural tube defects: United States, 1968-1989. *Am J Dis Child* 1992;146:857-61.
5. EUROCAT Working Group. Prevalence of neural tube defects in 20 regions of Europe and the impact of prenatal diagnosis, 1980-1986. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1991;45:52-8.
6. Limb CJ, Holmes LB. Anencephaly: changes in prenatal detection and birth status, 1972 through 1990. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1994;170:1333-8.
7. CDC. Spina bifida incidence at birth—United States, 1983-1990. *MMWR* 1992;41:497-500.
8. Roberts HE, Moore CA, Fernhoff PM, Cragan JD, Khoury MJ. The impact of prenatal diagnosis on the birth prevalence of neural tube defects, metropolitan Atlanta, 1990-1991 [abstract]. *Teratology* 1994;49:386.
9. MRC Vitamin Study Research Group. Prevention of neural tube defects: results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. *Lancet* 1991;338:131-7.
10. Czeizel AE, Dudas I. Prevention of the first occurrence of neural-tube defects by periconceptional vitamin supplementation. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:1832-5.
11. CDC. Use of folic acid for prevention of spina bifida and other neural tube defects—1983-1991. *MMWR* 1991;40:513-6.
12. CDC. Recommendations for the use of folic acid to reduce the number of cases of spina bifida and other neural tube defects. *MMWR* 1992;41(No. RR-14):1-7.



the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million, from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995 (Department of Health 1996). The number of people employed in the health sector has increased by 1.2 million, from 2.2 million in 1980 to 3.4 million in 1995.

There is a growing emphasis on the need to improve the efficiency of the health service, and to ensure that the health service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

One of the key challenges facing the health service is the need to improve the efficiency of the service, and to ensure that the service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

One of the key challenges facing the health service is the need to improve the efficiency of the service, and to ensure that the service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

One of the key challenges facing the health service is the need to improve the efficiency of the service, and to ensure that the service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

One of the key challenges facing the health service is the need to improve the efficiency of the service, and to ensure that the service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

One of the key challenges facing the health service is the need to improve the efficiency of the service, and to ensure that the service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

One of the key challenges facing the health service is the need to improve the efficiency of the service, and to ensure that the service is able to meet the needs of the population. This has led to a number of initiatives, including the introduction of the Health Service Act 1990, the Health Service Act 1997, and the Health Service Act 2001. These initiatives have led to a number of changes in the way the health service is organised and managed, and to a number of changes in the way the health service is funded.

State and Territorial Epidemiologists and Laboratory Directors

State and Territorial Epidemiologists and Laboratory Directors are acknowledged for their contributions to *CDC Surveillance Summaries*. The epidemiologists listed below were in the positions shown as of June 1995, and the laboratory directors listed below were in the positions shown as of June 1995.

| State/Territory | Epidemiologist | Laboratory Director |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Alabama | John P. Lofgren, MD | William J. Callan, PhD |
| Alaska | John P. Middaugh, MD | Gregory V. Hayes |
| Arizona | Lawrence Sands, DO, MPH | Barbara J. Erickson, PhD |
| Arkansas | Thomas C. McChesney, DVM | Michael G. Foreman |
| California | Duc J. Vugia, MD, MPH | Michael G. Vitz, PhD |
| Colorado | Richard E. Hoffman, MD, MPH | Ronald L. Cada, DrPH |
| Connecticut | James L. Hadler, MD, MPH | Sanders F. Hawkins, PhD |
| Delaware | A. LeRoy Hathcock, PhD | Mahadeo P. Verma, PhD |
| District of Columbia | Martin E. Levy, MD, MPH | James B. Thomas, ScD |
| Florida | Richard S. Hopkins, MD, MSPH | E. Charles Hartwig, ScD |
| Georgia | Kathleen E. Toomey, MD, MPH | Elizabeth A. Franko, DrPH |
| Hawaii | Richard L. Vogt, MD | Vernon K. Miyamoto, PhD |
| Idaho | Jesse F. Greenblatt, MD, MPH | Richard H. Hudson, PhD |
| Illinois | Byron J. Francis, MD, MPH | David F. Carpenter, PhD |
| Indiana | Edmundo M. Muniz, MD, PhD, MSc | Barbara Wilder (Acting) |
| Iowa | M. Patricia Quimlik, MD, MPH | W. J. Hausler, Jr, PhD |
| Kansas | Andrew R. Palletier, MD | Roger H. Carlson, PhD |
| Kentucky | Reginald Finger, MD, MPH | Thomas E. Maxson, DrPH |
| Louisiana | Louise McFarland, DrPH | Henry B. Bradford, Jr, PhD |
| Maine | Kathleen F. Gensheimer, MD, MPH | Philip W. Haines, DrPH |
| Maryland | Diane M. Dawyer, MD | J. Mehsen Joseph, PhD |
| Massachusetts | Alfred DeMeris, Jr, MD | Ralph J. Timperi, MPH |
| Michigan | Kenneth R. Wilcox, Jr, MD, DrPH | Robert Martin, DrPH |
| Minnesota | Michael T. Osterholm, PhD, MPH | Pauline Bouchard, JD, MPH |
| Mississippi | Mary Carrier, MD, MPH | Joe O. Graves, PhD |
| Missouri | H. Denny Donnell, Jr, MD, MPH | Eric C. Blank, DrPH |
| Montana | Todd D. Darnow, PhD, MPH | Douglas O. Abbott, PhD |
| Nebraska | Thomas J. Safranek, MD | John D. Blosser |
| Nevada | Randall L. Todd, DrPH | Arthur F. DiSalvo, MD |
| New Hampshire | M. Geoffrey Smith, MD, MPH | Veronica C. Malmberg, MSN |
| New Jersey | Kenneth C. Spitalny, MD | Shahiedy I. Shahied, PhD |
| New Mexico | C. L. Sewell, DrPH, MS | Loris W. Hughes, PhD |
| New York City | Benjamin A. Mojica, MD, MPH | Stanley Reimer |
| New York State | Dale L. Morse, MD, MS | Lawrence S. Sturman, MD, PhD |
| North Carolina | J. Newton McCormack, MD, MPH | Samuel N. Merritt, DrPH |
| North Dakota | Larry A. Shireley, MS, MPH | James D. Anders, MPH |
| Ohio | Thomas J. Halpin, MD, MPH | Kathleen L. Meckstroth, DrPH |
| Oklahoma | Joe P. Mallonise, MPH (Acting) | Garry L. McKee, PhD |
| Oregon | David Fleming, MD | Michael R. Skeels, PhD, MPH |
| Pennsylvania | James T. Rankin, Jr, DVM, PhD, MPH | Bruce Kieger, DrPH |
| Rhode Island | Barbara A. DeBuono, MD, MPH | Walter Combs, PhD |
| South Carolina | James J. Gibson, MD, MPH | Harold Dowda, PhD |
| South Dakota | Susan E. Lance, DVM, MPH | Richard S. Steece, PhD |
| Tennessee | Kerry Gateley, MD | Michael W. Kimberly, DrPH |
| Texas | Diane M. Simpson, MD, PhD | David L. Maserang, PhD |
| Utah | Craig R. Nichols, MPA | Charles D. Brokopp, DrPH |
| Vermont | Robert O'Grady (Acting) | Burton W. Wilke, Jr, PhD |
| Virginia | Grayson B. Miller, Jr, MD | James L. Pearson, DrPH |
| Washington | Paul Stehr-Green, DrPH, MPH | Jon M. Counts, DrPH |
| West Virginia | Loretta E. Haddy, MA, MS | Frank W. Lambert, Jr, DrPH |
| Wisconsin | Jeffrey P. Davis, MD | Ronald H. Laessig, PhD |
| Wyoming | Gayle L. Miller, DVM, MPH | Carl H. Blank, DrPH |
| American Samoa | Julia L. Lyons, MD, MPH | — |
| Federated States of Micronesia | Vacant | — |
| Guam | Robert L. Haddock, DVM, MPH | Jeff Benjamin (Acting) |
| Marshall Islands | Tony de Brum | — |
| Northern Mariana Islands | A. Mark Durand, MD, MPH | — |
| Palau | Jill McCready, MS, MPH | — |
| Puerto Rico | Carmen C. Deseda, MD | Adolpho Firpo-Betancourt, MD |
| Virgin Islands | Donna M. Green, MD | Norbert Mantor, PhD |

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to lists@list.cdc.gov. The body content should read *subscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at <http://www.cdc.gov/> or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at <ftp://ftp.cdc.gov>. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20462; telephone (202) 783-3238.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

© U.S. Government Printing Office: 1995-633-175/27005 Region IV

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta, Georgia 30333

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
PHS/CDC
Permit No. G-284

HHS Publication No. (CDC) 95-8017

Redistribution using permit imprint is illegal.

